

DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR)
Emergency Watershed Protection Program – Recovery

Section 1A

Date of Report: 09/25/2005

DSR Number: 109-05-001R Project Number: _____

NRCS Entry Only

Eligible: YES ☒ NO ☐
Approved: YES ☒ NO ☐
Funding Priority Number (from Section 4) 1
Limited Resource Area: YES ☐ NO ☒

Section 1B Sponsor Information

Sponsor Name: Terrebonne Parish Consolidated Government

Address: Po. Box 2768

City/State/Zip: Houma, Louisiana 70361

Telephone Number: (985) 873-6841 Fax: (985) 873-6409

Section 1C Site Location Information

County: Terrebonne State: Louisiana Congressional District: 03

Latitude: N29-27'-23.8" Longitude: W90-33'-26.0" Section: 1 Township: 19 Range: 19

UTM Coordinates: _____

Drainage Name: Montegut Levee Reach: 215'

Damage Description: Sheet pile wall completely washed out

Section 1D Site Evaluation

All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for EWP assistance.

Site Eligibility	YES	NO	Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hurricane Rita
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Threat to life and/or property?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Levee breached and flooded adjacent homes and property
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Imminent threat was created by this event?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Site Defensibility			
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments of the affected population have been informed of the EWP program and its possible effects? YES ☒ NO ☐

Comments: _____

* Statutory

** Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.


DSR NO: 109-05-001R

Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$ 1,200,000.

Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:  Date Reviewed: 10/4/05
State EWP Program Manager

Approved By:  Date Approved: 10/4/05
State Conservationist

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource Concerns	2B Existing Condition	2C Alternative Designation		
		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
		sheet pile tied into existing piling to close breach in levee	Allow breach to remain	Sheet pile tied into existing levee and armored with rip rap
		2D Effects of Alternatives		
Soil				
Mass Movement	15tn/ac.	<2 tn/ac.	30 TN/AC.	<2 TN/AC.
Construction	N/A	.5 tn/ac	N/A	.5 TN/AC
Water				
Downstream water rights				
suspended sediments	increasing turbidity	short-term turbidity	continued turbidity	short-term turbidity long-term improvements
Air				
Particulate matter	no effect	slight increase	no effect	slight increase
Plant				
SAV	limited with turbidity /salinity	increase with low turbidity/salinity	few	increase with lower turbidity
Animal				
freshwater habitat dive.	lower due to salinity/sediments	increase due to decrease saline	decrease	same as proposed
Other				
flood potential off site	increased	decreased	increased	decreased

DSR NO: 109-05-001R

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

Resource Consideration	Existing Condition	Alternatives and Effects		
		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S.	limited for salinity sediment and, flooding	lower sediment/salinity flood damage CWA permit needed	increase in salinity sediment flooding	lower sediment/salinity flood damage CWA permit needed
Coastal Zone Management Areas	with in CZM area	CUP needed	N/A	CUP Needed
Coral Reefs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cultural Resources	State level review needed			
Endangered and Threatened Species	(FOTG) USFWS, LDWF has listed species in parish but unlikely in project area.	low or no impact	no impact	low or no impact
Environmental Justice	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Essential Fish Habitat	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fish and Wildlife Coordination	no stream modifications proposed	will be addressed if needed in CWA permit process	N/A	will be addressed if needed in CWA permit process
Floodplain Management	with in 100 yr. floodplain	increases flood control	function impaired	increase flood control
Invasive Species	Chinese tallow tree present inside levee system	no impact	no impact	no impact
Migratory Birds	Habitat quality outside levee reduced	protection for habitat	Habitat quality outside levee reduced	protection for habitat
Natural Areas	None identified	N/A	N/A	N/A
Prime and Unique Farmlands	None identified	N/A	N/A	N/A
Riparian Areas	N/A			
Scenic Beauty	N/A			
Wetlands	salinity/sediments impacts outside levee	protection for freshwater wetlands	salinity/sediments impacts outside levee	protection for freshwater wetlands
Wild and Scenic Rivers	N/A			

Completed By: John Pitre / Michael Trusclair

Date: 09/29/2005

DSR NO: 109-05-001R

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	Near Term Damage Reduction
Properties Protected (Private)			
<i>372 Houses @ 90,000.00</i>	33,480,000.00	25	8,370,000.00
Properties Protected (Public)			
<i>3 Fire Stations @ 200,000.00</i>	600,000.00	25	150,000.00
<i>3 Schools @ 200,000.00</i>	600,000.00	25	150,000.00
<i>1 Park</i>	50,000.00	25.00	12,500.00
<i>1 Post Office</i>	150,000.00	25	37,500.00
Business Losses			
<i>25 Businesses @ 150,000.00</i>	3750000.00	25	937,500.00
Other			
<i>2 Pump Stations @ 400,000.00</i>	800,000.00	25	200,000.00
<i>1 B-4M @ 150,000.00</i>	150000.00	25	37,500.00
Total Near Term Damage Reduction \$			9,895,000.00
Net Benefit (Total Near Term Damage Reduction minus Cost from Section 3)			8,695,000.00

Completed By: Michael Trusclair Date: 09/27/2005

Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Completed By: Michael Trusclair Date: 09/27/2005

DSR NO: _____
109-05-001R

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	0
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	52
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	0
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	54
Asian Female Hispanic	0
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	0
Asian Male Hispanic	0
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	0
Black or African American Female Hispanic	0
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	6
Black or African American Male Hispanic	0
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	6
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	0
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	0
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	0
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	0
White Female Hispanic	18
White Female Non-Hispanic	497
White Male Hispanic	16
White Male Non-Hispanic	503
Total Group	1098 1,152

Census tract(s) South Central Planning & Development Commission

Completed By: Michael Trusclair

Date: 09/27/2005

DSR NO: 109-05-001R

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Sponsor shall obtain CWA permit and CUP

Mitigation Description:

Damaged existing sheet piles in breach will be removed and dispersed off site in landfill

Borrow and fill materials shall be wetted to reduce dust.

Construction shall be conducted ASAP in September and continued until complete to avoid start/stop disturbance if any Bald eagles winter in areas.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

US Army Corps of Engineers
State Historic Preservation Office
LDNR

DSR NO: 109-05-001R

Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

Completed By: Dale Garber Date: 10/02/2005

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Proposed Recovery Measure (including mitigation)	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)
Levee / Sheetpiling Repair	1	LS	1,155,000.00	1,155,000.00
Mobilization/Demobilization	1	LS	40,000.00	40,000.00
Seeding	1	LS	5,000.00	5,000.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
Total Installation Cost (Enter in Section 1F)\$				1,200,000.00

Unit Abbreviations:

AC	Acre	LS	Lump Sum
CY	Cubic Yard	SF	Square Feet
EA	Each	SY	Square Yard
HR	Hour	TN	Ton
LF	Linear Feet		Other (Specify)

DSR NO: 109-05-001R

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifier
1. Is this an exigency situation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		1
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?				
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?				
e. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?				
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

Prevent complete failure which would result in tidal flooding of the entire area protection by the Levee.

DSR NO: 109-05-001R

Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative:

☒ Has been sufficiently analyzed in the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply)
Chapter 5.2.2.3.2
Chapter _____
Chapter _____
Chapter _____
Chapter _____

_____ May require the preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement.
The action will be referred to the NRCS State Office on this date:

NRCS representative of the DSR team


Title: Michael Trusclair Date: 10/02/2005

Section 5B Comments:

Section 5C

Sponsor Concurrence:

Sponsor Representative



Title: ENGINEERING ANALYSIS Date: 10/2/05

Section 6 Attachments:

- A. Location Map
- B. Site Plan or Sketches
- C. Other (explain)

Montegut.




Montegut

238	N 29 27' 23.8"	W 90 33' 26.0"
239	N 29 27' 21.7"	W 90 33' 26.0"

Breached Area


238

239




LOUISIANA TERREBONNE PARISH EWP
D. Garber 10/2/05
MONTEGUT LEVEE REPAIR

DSR#: 109-05-001R



* BORROW ON SITE MATERIAL IN AREAS SHOWN
AND CAP SAND BAGS WITH APPROX. 2'-3' OF MATERIAL.



$$\begin{aligned} \text{QUANTITY FILL} &= 250 \text{ LF} \times 8' \text{ WIDE} \times 4' \text{ DEEP} = \frac{8000}{27} = 296 \times 1.3 \\ &= 385 \text{ CY} \\ &\text{SAY } \boxed{400 \text{ CY}} \end{aligned}$$

DSR#: 101-05-001R



Montegut

238 N 29 27' 23.8" W 90 33' 26.0"
239 N 29 27' 21.7" W 90 33' 26.0"

238

ched Area

239

215'

